

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,548	03/30/2001	Matthew D. Wood	42390P10451	7654
7 Michael A. DeS	7590 03/12/2007 anctis	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVED	Y MODE
3 MON		03/12/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/822,548	WOOD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Pyzocha	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,17-19,25-27,29 and 30 is/are part 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,17-19,25-27,29 and 30 is/are part 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the cont	vn from consideration. rejected. relection requirement. r.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 17-19, 25-27, and 29-30 are pending.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/22/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 17-19, 25-27, and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matyas, Jr. et al (US 6687375), in view of Chen et al (US 6182220), further in

Art Unit: 2137

view of Hardy et al (US 6073242), and further in view of Menezes et al (Handbook of Applied Cryptography).

As per claims 1, 17 and 25, Matyas Jr. et al discloses initializing a pseudo-random number generator (PRNG); obtaining local seeding information from a host; obtaining additional seeding information from one or more sources; and mixing the PRNG with the local seeding information and the additional seeding information (see column 9 lines 19-34 and 45-67) to perform one or more of providing an unpredictable system status, amplifying entropy, and enhancing system security (see column 9 lines 45-67).

Matyas Jr. et al fails to disclose securely obtaining additional seeding information from remote entropy servers.

However, Chen et al teaches obtaining seeding information from a remote entropy server (see column 1 line 66 through column 2 line 9).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the additional seeding information of Matyas Jr. et al from the server of Chen et al.

Motivation to do so would have been too update passwords on the server (see Chen et al column 4 lines 15-39).

Art Unit: 2137

The modified Matyas Jr. et al and Chen et al system fails to disclose the communication between host and server being secure.

However, Hardy et al teaches secure communications (see column 3 lines 54-67).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Hardy et al's method of secure communications in the modified system of Matyas Jr. et al and Chen et al system.

Motivation to do so would have been to provide confidentiality, authentication and integrity to the communications (see column 3 lines 54-67).

The modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al, and Hardy et al system fails to disclose the specific method of securely obtaining the keys, data and obtaining seeding information from each location.

However, Menezes et al teaches the key exchanging (see section 12.5.1), the use of temporary keys (see page 494), the use of a public key encryption scheme (see section 1.8.1) and obtaining a large amount of seeding information (see pages 170-171).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the methods of

Art Unit: 2137

Menezes et al to securely obtain the seeding information of the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al, and Hardy et al system and for the obtaining to be repeated.

Motivation to do so would have been to transport the key (see section 12.5.1), to limit the available ciphertext (see page 494), only the private key must be kept secret (see section 1.8.4) and seeds should be sufficiently large so that a search of all seeds in infeasible (see page 171).

As per claims 2-3 and 26-27, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al, Hardy et al, and Menezes et al system discloses the initializing the PRNG comprises initializing the internal state of the PRNG with a random value that is a seed (see Matyas Jr. et al column 9 lines 19-34).

As per claims 5 and 29, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al, Hardy et al, and Menezes et al system discloses remote entropy servers maintain random state pool to supply the host with the random value (see Matyas Jr. et al column 9 lines 45-67).

As per claim 6-8, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al, Hardy et al, and Menezes et al system discloses the obtaining of the remote seeding information from the remote entropy servers is performed via a privacy protocol, wherein the privacy protocol comprises secure sockets layer (SSL) protocol

Art Unit: 2137

and transport layer security (TLS) protocol (see Hardy et al column 3 lines 54-67).

As per claims 9 and 30, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al, Hardy et al, and Menezes et al system discloses the stirring the PRNG comprises producing a cryptographically random stream of bits (see Matyas Jr. et al column 9 lines 45-67).

As per claim 18, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al, Hardy et al, and Menezes et al system discloses the local system generates local seeding information (see Matyas Jr. et al column 9 lines 45-67).

As per claim 19, the modified Matyas Jr. et al, Chen et al, Hardy et al, and Menezes et al system discloses the remote computer systems are to generate the remote seeding information via the remote entropy servers (see Chen et al column 1 line 66 through column 2 line 9).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 08/28/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the combined references fail to disclose "securely obtaining remote seeding information from remote entropy servers via a secure entropy collection protocol, the remote seeding information to be mixed with the local seeding information to perform one or

Art Unit: 2137

more of providing an unpredictable system status, amplifying entropy, and enhancing system security".

With respect to this argument, Applicant is directed to Matyas column 9 lines 19-34 where it is disclosed that, "A PRNG is typically initialized with one or more secret seed values" and "If there is more than one seed value, these multiple seed values may be collected within a single structure or composite seed value, thus allowing the multiple seed values to be referred to as a single composite value." Therefore, Applicant's statement that Matyas teaches a system with only one secret seed value is incorrect. Furthermore, in Matyas column 9 lines 45-67, Matyas teaches that a seed value with structure is unwanted and therefore the mixing occurs to obtain uniformly distributed entropy in the seed. This mixing increases the entropy of the seed because there is no longer structure to the seed and thereby enhances the security of the system since it will now be more difficult to determine the seed values. Furthermore, since Matyas teaches the use of multiple seeds and when combined with the teaching of obtaining a seed from a remote server the modified system teaches obtain multiple seeds from remote servers.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wallace and Peinado teach methods of using multiple seeds from remote servers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2137

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJP

